

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,761	01/12/2000	RISTO MAKIPAA	99.922	5482
7:	590 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN			CHO, HONG SOL	
HULBERT & I 300 SOUTH W	BERGHOFF ACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2662	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/462,761	MAKIPAA, RISTO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hong Cho	2662		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07-0</u>	06-2004.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	· <u> </u>				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>26-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>26-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the structure of the subject to restriction and/or control of the subject to restriction and subject to	awn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage		
		·			
A44- •					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intention: 0	n/ (DTO 412)		
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) 💹 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date		
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/462,761

Art Unit: 2662

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 7/6/04. Claims 1-25 were canceled. Claims 26-38 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

Claims 28 and 32 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 Re claim 28, "in claim 25" in line 1 should be replaced with -- in claim 25 --.
 Re claim 32, the claim is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim are listed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Coleman et al (U.S 5732214), hereinafter referred to as Coleman.

Re claim 26, Coleman discloses a method for providing a customer with service information via a terminal connected to a telecommunication network (abstract), the

method comprising: multiplexing a plurality of service data in a frame format for service transmission (Fig. 1, col. 5, lns. 60-col. 6, lns. 16), whereby Interactive Program Guide (IPG) of the services are located in at least one frame of the multiplexed frames (IPG) packet is multiplexed with the data packets for the different services, col. 5, lns. 65-col. 6, lns. 7); forming selection data for the selection of the service on the basis of the IPG packet data located in the service multiplex (IPG packet provides a user with the selection menu such as scheduling, titles, and time of event of programs, col. 6, lns. 18-48); and transmitting the selection data based on the IPG packet data separately (IPG) packets are transmitted in separate time slots and a customer receives a IPG packet data before the actual service data, col. 22, lns 37-40) without the actual service data of the service multiplex (the actual service data will be available once a customer pushes a select or view button from the selection menu, col. 23, lns 17-19), to the customer terminal for displaying the selection data (the IPG packet data is multiplexed separately from the various service packets provided, Fig. 1, col. 5, lns. 60-col. 6, lns. 16). Re claim 27, Coleman discloses transmitting the selection data to the customer terminal via a different network than the service multiplex is transmitted. The various services arrive from different networks (Fig. 1, '10' and '12').

Re claim 28, Coleman discloses creating a service directory from said IPG packet data, which service directory comprises the selection data and presents the services on a display unit (col. 6, lns. 18-29).

Re claim 29, Coleman discloses compiling a separate service directory on the basis of said identification and control data and transmitting said service directory to the display

Art Unit: 2662

unit in response to said display unit connecting to a telecommunication network (col. 6, lns. 30-48).

Re claim 30, Coleman discloses compiling service directory from the identification and control data of several multiplexed frames comprising a plurality of services (col. 6, lns. 18-48).

Re claim 31, Coleman discloses compiling service directory from the identification and control data of several multiplexed frames comprising a plurality of services (the system periodically receives updates of the IPG packets to update the directory, col. 14, lns. 23-45).

Re claim 32, Coleman discloses updating service directory continuously to servers operating in the telecommunication network in accordance with the predetermined multiplexed services and transmitting said directory service to the display unit in response to said display unit establishing a connecting to said telecommunication network (col. 14, lns. 23-45).

Re claim 33, Coleman discloses comprising in response to the user selecting a service displayed on the display unit determining the telecommunication network, which is the most suitable for delivering the service (determine which of service packets 1-N delivering various services the system should select) and delivering the service selected by the user from the transmitting address to the receiver via said determined telecommunication network (Fig. 1, col. 5, lns. 60-col. 6, lns. 16).

Re claim 34, Coleman discloses routing the selected service from the transmitting address to the receiver automatically on the basis of said identification and control data of the

Art Unit: 2662

multiplexed frame (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the services are routed across the communications network to the receiver).

Re claim 35, Coleman discloses a terminal of a telecommunication network, which is arranged to receive selection data regarding a plurality of service data of a service provider for selecting a service to be transmitted to said terminal in a multiplexed form and display the selection data of the service (col. 19, lns. 57-col. 20 lns. 4), which selection data is formed from the IPG packet located in the multiplexed service data frames (*IPG packet is multiplexed with the data packets for the different services*, col. 6, lns. 5-7), and which selection data has been transmitted separately (*a customer receives a IPG packet data before the actual service data*, col. 22, lns 37-40), without the actual service data of the service multiplex (*the actual service data will be available once a customer pushes a select or view button from the selection menu*, col. 23, lns 17-19), Re claim 36, Coleman discloses a terminal arranged to receive the selection data via a different network than the service multiplex is transmitted (Fig. 1, the IPG packets and services are received from different networks).

Re claim 37, Coleman discloses a terminal arranged to receive the service directory comprising the selection data and formed from the identification and control data (Fig. 1, col. 6, lns. 18-30).

Re claim 38, Coleman discloses a terminal, wherein the terminal is a television or a computer (col. 6, lns. 18-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/462,761 Page 6

Art Unit: 2662

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In response to applicant's first argument on pages 5-6, Applicant argues that Coleman does not disclose the same ordering phases as in the claimed invention. However, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., ordering of phases) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Therefore, the argument made on the order of phases has not been considered to the patentability of the invention.
- In response to applicant's second argument on page 6, Applicant argues that Coleman does not disclose a selection menu which is formed on the basis of identification and control data of the program multiplex. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees.

 IPG packet identifies different service types provided by service providers by referring to program titles (identification data of the program) and time of event of programs (control data) and allows a user to select a particular program (movies, sports, news). Therefore, the Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims 26-38 stands rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,761

Art Unit: 2662

Page 7

Conclusion

6. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09462761. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 11-22-2004

RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER